



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/748,933

12/30/2003

David J. Parins

1001.1676101

1930

28075 7590 01/22/2009  
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC  
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE  
SUITE 800  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

EXAMINER

TOWA, RENE T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3736

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/22/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/748,933	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PARINS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> RENE TOWA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-15,17-54 and 61-67 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-54,61 and 62 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-15,17-22 and 63-67 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to an amendment filed October 8, 2008. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-15, 17-54 & 61-67 are pending. Claims 2, 5, 16 & 55-60 have been cancelled. Claims 23-54 & 61-62 have been withdrawn. Claims 1, 14 & 21 have been amended.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. **Claims 1, 3-4, 12-15, 22 & 63-67** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian (US 5,178,159) in view of Frisbie et al. (US 5,517,989).

In regards to **claim 1**, **Christian** discloses a guidewire, comprising:

a core member 203 having a proximal end and a distal end, wherein the core member 203 is generally solid in cross-section;

a metallic tubular member 206 having a proximal end and a distal end and a lumen therebetween, the tubular member 206 disposed about and connected to the distal end of the core member 203, the distal end of the tubular member 206 extending distally beyond the distal end of the core member 203; and

a coil member 210 connected to and disposed about the tubular member 206;

wherein the coil member 210 includes a distal end and a proximal end, and wherein the distal end of the coil member 210 extends distally beyond the distal end of the tubular member 206 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 3**, **Christian** discloses a guidewire wherein the proximal end of the coil member 210 is positioned distal of the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 4**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the proximal end of the tubular member 206 fits over the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 12**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the tubular member 206 has a hemispherical cross section (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 13**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the tubular member 206 has a circular cross section (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 14**, Christian discloses a guidewire comprising:  
a core member 203 including a proximal portion having a proximal end and a distal portion having a distal end, wherein the core member 203 is generally solid in cross-section; and  
a distal assembly (206, 210) including a tubular member 206 having an inner surface adapted for connection to the distal portion of the core member 203, and an outer surface, and a coil member 210 connected to the tubular member 206;

wherein the distal assembly (206, 210) is connected to the distal portion of the core member 203 such that a portion of the distal assembly extends distally beyond the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 15**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the distal assembly is connected to the distal portion of the core member 203 such that a portion of the tubular member 206 extends distally beyond the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 22**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the tubular member 206 has a circular cross section (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 63**, Christian discloses a medical device wherein the proximal end of the coil 2 is distal to the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 64**, Christian discloses a medical device wherein the proximal end of the coil 2 is distal to the distal end of the tubular member 206 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 65**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the proximal end of the coil 2 is distal to the distal end of the core member 203 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 66**, Christian discloses a guidewire wherein the proximal end of the coil 2 is distal to the distal end of the tubular member 206 (see fig. 12).

In regards to **claim 67**, Christian discloses a guidewire, comprising:

a core member 203 having a proximal end and a distal end, wherein the core member 203 is generally solid in cross-section;

a tubular member 206 having a proximal end and a distal end, the tubular member 206 disposed about and connected to the distal end of the core member 203, the distal end of the tubular member 206 extending distally beyond the distal end of the core member 203; and

a metallic coil member 210 disposed about and attached to the distal end of the tubular member 206; and

wherein the distal end of the tubular member 206 extends distally beyond the distal end of the core member 203 and the coil member 210 extends distally beyond the distal end of the tubular member 206 (see fig. 12).

*Christian disclose a guidewire, as described above, that fails to explicitly teach a core member that is connected to the inner surface of the tubular member.*

However, **Frisbie et al.** teach that it is known to connect a core member 34 to a tubular member 33 via soldering in order to stably hold the sections of the guidewire together (see fig. 3; col. 5, lines 14-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide the guidewire of Christian with a core member that is connected to the tubular member as taught by Frisbie et al. in order to stably hold the sections of the guidewire together.

4. **Claims 6-7 & 17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), and further in view of Richardson et al. (US 6,673,025).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. disclose a guidewire, as described above, that fails to explicitly teach a polymer sheath.*

However, **Richardson et al.** discloses a guidewire comprising a polymer sheath 127 disposed over all of the core member 141 (see fig. 17; col. 14, lines 42-67; col. 15, lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. with a polymer sheath as taught by Richardson et al. in order to increase the lubricity of the guidewire and/or achieve a guidewire that provides therapeutic, diagnostic or hydrophilic agent.

5. **Claim 8** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), and further in view of Palmer et al. (US 6,544,231).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. discloses a guidewire, as described above, that fails to teach the process of laser welding or soldering.*

However, **Palmer et al.** disclose a medical instrument wherein a coil is bonded to a metallic tubular structure through laser welding (see column 4/lines 16-18).

Since it is known to provide metallic tubular and core members, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to attach the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. with a connecting process as taught by Palmer et al. in order to tightly fuse metal elements together.

6. **Claims 9-10 & 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), and further in view of Cook et al. (US 5,213,111).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. discloses a guidewire, as described above, that fails to teach connecting the tubular member through crimping.*

However, **Cook et al.** disclose a guidewire wherein a coil member 2 is connected to a core member through crimping (see column 3/lines 13-16).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to provide the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. with a connecting process as taught by Cook et al. in order to hold the elements together in a friction-fit fashion.

7. **Claim 11** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), Cook et al. ('111), and further in view of Palmer et al. (US 6,544,231).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. and Cook et al., above, discloses a guidewire, as described above, that fails to teach the process of laser welding or soldering.*

However, **Palmer et al.** disclose a medical instrument wherein a coil is bonded to a metallic tubular structure through laser welding (see column 4/lines 16-18).

Since it is known to provide metallic tubular and core members, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to attach the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. and Cook et al., above, with a connecting process as taught by Palmer et al. in order to tightly fuse metal elements together.

8. **Claims 18 & 20** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chr Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), and further in view of Palmer et al. (US 6,544,231).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. above, discloses a guidewire, as described above, that fails to teach the process of laser welding or soldering.*

However, **Palmer et al.** disclose a medical instrument wherein a coil is bonded to a metallic tubular structure through laser welding (see column 4/lines 16-18).

Since it is known to provide metallic tubular and core members, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to attach the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. above, with a connecting process as taught by Palmer et al. in order to tightly fuse metal elements together.

9. **Claim 21** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christian ('159) in view of Frisbie et al. ('989), and further in view of Buchbinder et al. (US 4,815,478).

*Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. above, discloses a guidewire, as described above, that fails to teach a guidewire wherein the tubular member comprises a C-shaped cross section.*

However, **Buchbinder et al.** disclose a guidewire comprising a tubular member 41 wherein the tubular member 41 comprises a C-shaped cross section (see figs. 3-4).



It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to modify the guidewire of Christian as modified by Frisbie et al. above to include a C-shaped cross section as taught by Buchbinder et al. in order to increase the flexibility of the guidewire in the distal direction for better steerability.

***Response to Arguments***

10. Applicant's arguments filed October 22, 2008 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RENE TOWA whose telephone number is (571)272-8758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571) 272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/R. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 3736

/Max Hindenburg/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736